## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. 1:11-cr-00011
v.	)	Honorable Paul L. Maloney
	)	
GERALD ALAN TABBIA,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on June 15, 2011, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement, defendant Gerald Alan Tabbia entered a plea of guilty to Count One of the Indictment and agreed to forfeit the property listed in the forfeiture allegation. In Count One of the Indictment, defendant is charged with receiving child pornography in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(2)(A). The forfeiture allegation provides for the forfeiture of visual depictions and matter containing such visual depictions; and any property, real or personal, used or intended to be used to commit or promote the commission of violations of 18 U.S.C. § 2252A.

On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from

Case 1:11-cr-00011-PLM ECF No. 23 filed 06/20/11 PageID.51 Page 2 of 2

any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant

understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient

basis in fact.

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Count One of the Indictment

be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the written plea agreement be

considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt,

acceptance of the plea agreement, and imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district

judge.

Date: June 20, 2011

/s/ Ellen S. Carmody

ELLEN S. CARMODY

United States Magistrate Judge

**NOTICE TO PARTIES** 

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceed-

ings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than 14 days after the plea hearing. See W.D.

MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d).

- 2 -